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Several studies have linked nephrolithiasis with an increased risk of renal pelvis cancer but an association between nephrolithiasis and risk of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) has not been apparent, as only a few cases of RCC developing with nephrolithiasis have been reported. Herein, we report a case of bilateral RCC, which was previously managed by extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy, percutaneous nephrolithotripsy, and open surgery. All patients with a long standing history of urolithiasis should undergo close scrutiny of the parenchyma for the possibility an occult renal cancer by using appropriate investigations.
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CASE REPORT

A 47-year-old white female presented with right upper quadrant pain. She had a history of cystine stones and multiple bilateral renal calculi. She had had multiple shock wave lithotripsy to both kidneys, also 3 percutaneous nephrolithotripsy on the right kidney as well as open pyelolithotomy of her right kidney and left ureterolithotomy. Renal ultrasound showed a right lower pole complex cyst sized 9.9x7.5 cm. Subsequent computed tomography scan was carried out and showed exophytic right renal carcinoma and exophytic left lower pole renal neoplasm. The right renal mass measured 8.8x7.3 cm and involved the renal sinus (Figure 1). There were bilateral diffuse punctate calcifications within the renal parenchyma. The inferior pole left renal mass measured 1.8x1.2 cm. There was no evidence of extrarenal spread or lymph node
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involvement (Figure 2). Because the patient had had open surgery bilaterally and multiple percutaneous procedures on the right kidney and had a small left kidney, we performed a right open partial nephrectomy. During the operation, the mass was excised while maintaining 60% of the normal renal parenchyma. Frozen section was consistent with RCC and negative margins. Final pathological evaluation of the surgical specimen revealed a clear cell type of RCC, Furhman nuclear 3 of 4 (7.8 in greatest dimension). Left kidney tumor was ultimately treated by cryotherapy.

DISCUSSION

Kidney stones are an increased risk for developing renal pelvis cancer. Although adenocarcinoma of renal pelvis associated with nephrolithiasis has been recorded in a few case reports, squamous cell carcinoma of renal pelvis is the most common renal malignancy associated with nephrolithiasis. It is unclear why stones predispose to urinary tract cancer. It is generally believed that the transitional cell epithelium undergoes metaplasia caused by the presence of infection and chronic irritation by renal stones. The subsequent squamous metaplasia then becomes malignant after several years. Although the relationship between renal calculi and RCC has not been well documented, a possible association may exist between the RCC and nephrolithiasis.

Incidental detection of RCC in association with renal stone disease has been reported by some authors. In all reported cases, RCC was identified either at the time of renal surgery to remove the calculus or just prior to treatment. The authors suggested that, in patients over 50 years of age treated with PCNL or x-ray guided ESWL, ultrasound before treatment should be performed to exclude incidental renal cancer. Hes et al. found an association with nephrolithiasis in 3 out of 11 of spindle and cuboidal RCC. They presented the largest series of an unclassified subtype of RCC. In this series the most interesting clinical finding was the association with nephrolithiasis in 3 out of 11 patients. Chen et al. reported a very rare primary renal tumor, a malignant fibrous histiocytoma of kidney associated with staghorn calculi. Talamini et al. performed a hospital-based case-control study of risk factors for RCC and they found a positive association between a history of nephrolithiasis and RCC. However, in a study of patients hospitalized for kidney stones, Chow et al. found an elevated risk for causes of the renal pelvis, but they did not find an excess risk of renal cell carcinoma. In this study, a population-based cohort of patients hospitalized for kidney or ureter stones was followed for up to 25 years to examine subsequent risks for developing renal cell, pelvis/ureter, or bladder cancer.

We could not find any reported data of the occurrence of RCC several years after the treatment of calculi. Our case is the first report of RCC manifesting several years after the management of stone disease.

Although radical nephrectomy is the gold standard curative operation for patients with localized RCC, nephron sparing surgery (NSS) is now an established approach when there is a clinically relevant need to preserve renal function. Patients with synchronous renal tumors have an absolute indication for NSS, and an attempt should be made to preserve as much functioning parenchyma as possible.

In conclusion, all patients with a longstanding history of urolithiasis should undergo close scrutiny of the parenchyma for the possibility of an occult renal cancer by using appropriate investigations. RCC could exist either simultaneously with stone disease or develop several years after treatment for stone disease. In a patient with

Figure 1. CT showing a large right renal mass.

Figure 2. CT after the right partial nephrectomy showing the 2 cm left renal mass that was ultimately treated by cryotherapy.
bilateral synchronous RCC, NSS should be attempted to preserve as much functional renal tissue as possible. For the patients who had previous surgery, the open approach should be the choice since the scarring and adhesions are handled better through this technique. Early diagnosis of disease is most important for survival.
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