Effects of Fermented Sumach on the Formation of Slime Layer of Staphylococcus aureus
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is one of the most commonly isolated bacterial pathogens in hospitals, and the most frequent cause of nosocomial infections. Nosocomial staphylococcal foreign-body infections related to biofilm formation are a serious threat, demanding new therapeutic and preventive strategies. Implantation of intravenous catheters and surgical implantation of prosthetic implants carry a risk of infection. In order to prevent all these effects of biofilms, a study was designed to observe the possible antibacterial effect of sumach (Rhus coriaria) on the biofilm formation of S. aureus.

Material and Methods: The influence of varying concentrations of sumach on the formation of biofilms by 13 strains of Staphylococcus aureus was tested by a microelisa assay.

Results: The significant differences between varying concentrations of sumach (0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1.0 µl/ml) were observed in four methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and nine methicillin sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) (p<0.05). In bacteria, a dose-related decrease in the formation of slime, which is a major virulence factor of staphylococcal infections, was observed.

Conclusion: In our study, using 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1.0 µl/ml of sumach, thirteen strains lost, 17%, 22%, 28% and 48% respectively of their capacity to produce biofilms. Sumach, which is a herbal product, can decrease the formation of biofilm, which is a major virulence factor in staphylococcal infections.
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Introduction

The increasing numbers of multidrug-resistant Gram-positive pathogens have generated worldwide concern in the medical community. The emergence and spread of the methicillin resistant S. aureus (MRSA) has been shown to be associated with both hospital- and community-acquired infections. Effective treatment options for these infections are limited and the situation may soon become more severe. For these reasons, a proactive management of MRSA in healthcare facilities is needed (1, 2). The use of different types of antibiotics over the years has led to the emergence of multi-resistant MRSA strains (3). Although the types and severity of diseases produced by the opportunistic pathogen, S. aureus, vary, it was reported to be a frequent cause of infections associated with indwelling medical devices (e.g., catheters and artificial heart valves) (4).

In a biofilm, bacteria are well protected from the host immune defense. An increase in antibiotic resistance is the consequence (5-7) and even high local concentrations of antibiotics do not completely eradicate bacteria in biofilms (6, 8).
Material and Methods

The Bacteria: Thirteen *S. aureus* isolates which had been recruited from the samples of patients who visited the microbiology laboratory of the hospital of Abant Izzet Baysal University, Faculty of Medicine, Bolu, Turkey. Four of these strains which were found to be methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and nine of them reported as methicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA), in a previous study were inoculated into the blood agar and grown at 37°C for a period of 24 hours. The relevant isolates were treated with the fermented sumach, which was put in the tryptic soy broth (TSB) (Merck™) for 24 hours and 37°C in an incubator. Following a period of 24 hours incubation of isolates which are treated with the fermented sumach, the bacteria were grown separately. The concentrations of the fermented sumach were 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1 μl/mL. In all the microtiter plates, TSB was used and the process was repeated in triplicate. The isolates were inoculated to cuvettes (LP Italiana SPA™) which contained the treated and non-treated groups.

The Fermented Sumach: The fermented sumach was obtained from a local vendor in Gaziantep, Turkey. It was prepared by grinding with up to 20% salt and left for fermentation. The fermentation period was 24 h at 37°C. The biofilms formed on the plates were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove the planktonic cells. Then, the cells were stained with saphranin for 1 hour. After discarding saphranin, the microplate was washed twice with PBS, followed by the air drying of the wells. The adherent bacterial films were measured spectrophotometrically at 540 nm in a microplate reader (Thermo Instruments™). This process was repeated with 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1 μl/mL concentrations of sumach treated TSB to determine the effects of sumach on slime production of isolates. The studies were repeated in triplicates.

The Experimentation: The treatment including four different concentrations of the fermented sumach were added to each microtiter plate in the microelisa reader instrument (Thermo Instruments™), containing TSB and analyzed separately. The concentrations of the fermented sumach were 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1 μl/mL. In all the microtiter plates, TSB was used and the process was repeated in triplicate. The isolates were inoculated to cuvettes (LP Italiana SPA™) which contained the treated and non-treated groups.

The Qualitative Determination of Slime

(i) Congo red Agar method (CRA): In order to screen out the biofilm formation by *S. aureus*, the bacteria were grown on Congo red agar (Merck™) as described by Freeman et al. (1989) (21). The colony morphology was examined after 24 h at 37°C. A positive result was indicated by black colonies.

(ii) Tube method: The case study was verified by an assay, in which the biofilm formation by bacteria was additionally detected by another method described by Christensen et al. (22) by overnight cultivation of *S. aureus*, inoculated in polystyrene test tube which contained TSB as an alternative. The biofilms formed on the walls of the polystyrene test tube were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove the planktonic cells. Then, the cells were stained with saphranin for 1 hour. After discarding saphranin, the polystyrene test tube was washed twice with PBS, followed by the air drying of the polystyrene test tube. Slime production was judged to have occurred if a visible film lined the walls of the tube (22). The adherent bacterial films were measured spectrophotometrically at 540 nm in a microplate reader (Thermo Instruments™). This process was repeated with 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1 μl/mL concentrations of sumach treated TSB to determine the effects of sumach on slime production of isolates. The studies were repeated in triplicate.

The Quantitative Determination of Slime

(i) Spectrophotometric method: The different concentrations of sumach were mixed with TSB and non-treated TSB were used for controls. The optical density (OD) value of the inoculum was adjusted to approximately 0.600 by a spectrophotometer (Hitachi™). 200 μl of bacterial suspension were inoculated into 96-well flat-bottomed sterile polystyrene microplates (LP Italiana SPA™) which contained TSB. Some wells were left free of fermented sumach as controls and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The biofilms formed on the plates were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove the planktonic cells. Then, the cells were washed with saphranin for 1 hour. After discarding saphranin, the microplate was washed twice with PBS, followed by the air drying of the wells. The adherent bacterial films were measured spectrophotometrically. This process was repeated with 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1 μl/mL concentrations of sumach treated TSB to determine the effects of sumach on slime production of isolates. The studies were repeated in triplicates.

The Determination of the Slime Index (SI): Following a period of 24 hours incubation of isolates which are treated with the different concentrations of the fermented sumach, the growths of *S. aureus* were confirmed with the microelisa reader instrument (Thermo Instruments™). The O.D. value of the biofilm corresponded to the value in O.D. of bacterial growth determined spectrophotometrically, before the aspiration of the culture in order to compensate the partial inhibition in growth caused by the fermented sumach and this was termed as the slime index (SI). The result was expressed as a percentage relative to the control without fermented sumach. For this purpose, the following formula was applied: SI = 100 x (mean density of biofilm with supplement/mean growth with treatment)/(mean density of biofilm without treatment/mean growth without treatment) (Pérez-Giraldo C. et al., 1997) (23).

Statistical analysis

The Friedman test was used to detect the existence of differences in growth and biofilm formation among the different groups. The significance level was set for p<0.05 in the evaluation of Friedman test results. Where significant differences existed, comparison between the concentrations of sumach was carried out by the two related sample test (Wilcoxon test). The Bonferroni correction was made in the evaluation of p values which were obtained from the Wilcoxon test. The significant level was set for p<0.017 in the evaluation of Wilcoxon test results.

Result

The 13 strains of *S. aureus* included in this study were found to be biofilm-producing. Strains which were not treated with sumach produced a slime layer of which O.D. value ranged from 0.074 to 0.389. A total of 13 strains gave an O.D. of >0.100. Strains which were treated with sumach had a decreased biofilm with an O.D. value ranging from 0.082 to 0.070. The results of growth and biofilm formation in the presence of different concentrations of sumach determined by spectrophotometrical assays are presented in the Table 1.

It was found that there were significant differences in growth between the concentrations of 0.1 and 1.0 μl/ml (Table 2). In addition, there were significant differences in biofilm formation of MSSA and MRSA between concentrations of sumach. Probably the decrease in the O.D. of the biofilms was
directly proportional to the fermented sumach concentration. The fermented sumach, served in four different concentrations, showed the same effect on the biofilm formation and the growth of MSSA and MRSA (p<0.05). The reduction in SI and slime, which is a major virulence factor of staphylococcal infections, proved to be statistically significant at four concentrations of sumach. At four concentrations sumach decreased the biofilm formation of 13 strains and reduced the biofilm formation by 48% at a concentration of 1.0 µl/ml (Table 1). The mean percentage of biofilm of all the strains relative to the control, with a concentration of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 µl/ml and 1.0 µl/ml of sumach, was 77.42±2.67, 71.18±2.52, 63.25±2.18 (p<0.05) and 52.51±1.98 (p<0.05), respectively (Table 1). The fermented sumach demonstrated a dose-dependent slime reducing activity (Table 1 and 2). However, SI indicates that there is no significant decrease in the biofilm between the concentrations of 0.1 µl/ml and 0.2 µl/ml (p<0.017), and between the concentrations of 0.2 µl/ml and 0.5 µl/ml (Table 2). So, the Biofilm inhibition effects of sumach are the same at the concentrations of both 0.1 µl/ml and 0.2 µl/ml (p<0.017) and the same at the concentrations of both 0.2 µl/ml and 0.5 µl/ml (Table 2) but are less than the inhibition effect of the concentration of 1.0 µl/ml (Table 1). The most effective concentration is 1.0 µl/ml for biofilm inhibition (Table 1).

**Discussion**

Methicillin resistant *S.aureus* (MRSA) has been shown to be associated with both hospital- and community-acquired infections (1, 2). Büyüktuna et al. (2010) have demonstrated that one of the pathogens of nosocomial infections was *Staphylococcus* spp. (16.7%) in an intensive care unit (24). The choice of drugs to be used against MRSA is shrinking day by day, as susceptibility of MRSA to drugs is decreasing by target site alteration, enzyme modification and permeability changes (25).

Studies have been made to decrease adherence of coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) to catheters by coating them with antiseptics and silver, or by salicylic acid and some other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (26, 27).

Several studies have been made to manage the microbial biofilm on the biomaterials, including the incorporation of antibiotic and non-antibiotic agents (e.g. usnic acid, epigallocatechin-gallate, ovotransferin, protamine sulfate, surfactin) into biomaterials (28, 29). The incorporation of antibiotics on catheters seems to be inappropriate for preventing biofilm formation, since, in contrast to non-antibiotic agents, it can lead to bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents (28). One study showed that the adhesion and formation of the *S. epidermidis* biofilm on the PCV Nelaton and Thorax catheters had been inhibited by EDTA at low concentrations (between 1-2 mmol/l) (30).

In our data, using 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1.0 µl/ml of sumach, thirteen strains lost 17%, 22%, 28% and 48% of their capacity to produce biofilms. Perez Giraldo et al. (24) and Marek Juda et al. (30) studied the effect of EDTA on the formation of biofilm by *S. epidermidis*. According to the data of Perez Giraldo et al. (24) with the highest concentrations (0.25-8 mg/mL), the O.D. of the biofilms diminished and using 1 mg/mL, thirteen strains lost 17%, 22%, 28% and 48% of their capacity to produce biofilms. According to Marek Juda et al. (30), EDTA inhibited adhesion and biofilm formation by the *S. epidermidis* isolates on biomaterials at concentrations of 1.0-2.0 mmol/l.

Our results show that sumach decreases growth-independent formation of biofilm, which is a major virulence factor of staphylococcal infections. For this reason, sumach may be an effective alternative for preventing indwelling prosthetic infections by *S. aureus*. This study has demonstrated that the higher dose of sumach, the lower the formation of the biofilms. In the presence of 0.1 µl/ml of sumach or more than this concentration, the results were statistically significant. The sumach which included four different concentrations had the same effect on biofilm formation and growth of MSSA and MRSA.

It would be appropriate to confirm these results by animal experiments. According to this possible confirmation, applica-

---

**Table 1. The Friedman test results which show the effects of different concentrations of sumach on the growth and biofilm formation of 13 isolates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations of sumach intervals (µl/ml)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1 µl/ml- 0.2 µl/ml- 0.5 µl/ml- 1.0 µl/ml</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slime</td>
<td>78.46±3.03</td>
<td>71.91±2.83</td>
<td>51.92±2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>94.69±3.59</td>
<td>90.88±2.93</td>
<td>1.08±4.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *p < 0.05*

**Table 2. The wilcoxon test results which show the effects of different concentrations of sumach on the growth and biofilm formation of 13 isolates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations of sumach intervals (µl/ml)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1 µl/ml- 0.2 µl/ml- 0.5 µl/ml- 1.0 µl/ml</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slime</td>
<td>71.18±2.52</td>
<td>63.25±2.18</td>
<td>52.51±1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>96.64±3.27</td>
<td>94.69±3.59</td>
<td>1.08±4.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *p < 0.017*
tions of sumach can be researched. Sumach may be administered by direct instillation, orally. However, it may be possible by local application to obtain useful concentrations to prevent the formation of biofilms and adherence of \textit{S. aureus}. This herbal product may be incorporated into indwelling devices for preventing adhesion of \textit{S. aureus} to medical devices. It is difficult to treat an infected device, by this way this can be prevented by sumach. Indwelling device associated infections, even MRSA infections, may be prevented by sumach. Indwelling device associated infections can be an alternative treatment option if this could be confirmed by animal and clinical experiments, freeze dried tablets which contain ingredients of sumach may also be produced by drug companies.

In conclusion, our results suggest that sumach may prevent the formation of biofilms and adherence of \textit{S. aureus}. When incurable indwelling device associated infections arise due to \textit{S. aureus}, sumach can be an alternative treatment option if this could be confirmed by animal and clinical experiments. We consider that it would be appropriate to carry out animal and clinical studies to confirm this.
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