The Relationship between Teachers’ Attitudes toward Measurement and Evaluation and their Perceptions of Professional Well-Being†

Kamil YILDIRIM*
Gökhan ARASTAMAN**
Elif DASCI***

Suggested Citation:

Abstract

Problem Statement: The quality of teaching at schools mostly depends on the teachers’ competencies. One of these competencies is measurement and evaluation (MaE). Evaluation of the students’ cognitive, affective, and psychomotor development requires skills and knowledge about various measuring tools and techniques. It is essential for a teacher to have a good command of the concepts in MaE, certain skills, and positive attitudes. In this way, teachers can evaluate and develop their own teaching methods and reach fewer value judgments, and consequently, they can have better professional perceptions of themselves. Therefore, this study anticipates the existence of a relationship between teachers’ attitude on MaE and perception of professional well-being (PWB).

Purpose of the Study: The aim of the study is to examine the relationship between teachers’ attitudes toward measurement and evaluation and their perceptions of professional well-being (PWB) in the branch teachers working at public elementary and secondary schools.

Method: The present study is a relational study with a descriptive pattern aimed to describe the relationship between teachers’ attitudes toward MaE and their perceptions of PWB. The Scale of Teacher Perception of PWB and the Scale of Teacher Attitude toward Measurement-Evaluation were used as data collection tools. Data was collected from 301 branch teachers teaching at public elementary and secondary schools in Aksaray Province
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between March and May of 2014. Data was analyzed using descriptive statistics and multiple linear regression analysis.

Findings and Results: The results of the study revealed that teachers had positive attitudes toward MaE and perceptions of PWB. A significant positive relationship was found between the teachers’ attitudes toward MaE and their perceptions of PWB. Attitude toward MaE was identified as a significant predictor of perception of PWB.

Conclusions and Recommendations: In the present study, a significant positive relationship that may be considered strong was found between the teachers’ attitudes toward measurement-evaluation and their perceptions of PWB. The fact that the attitude developed by teachers toward MaE has a relatively strong effect on their perception of PWB indicates the place of MaE in their professional lives. It may be concluded that as the teachers’ attitudes toward MaE improves they may feel better professionally.
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Introduction

The quality of teaching at schools mostly depends on teachers’ competencies. One of these competencies is measurement and evaluation (MaE). Evaluation of the students’ cognitive, affective, and psychomotor development requires possession of skills and knowledge about various measuring tools and techniques (Dylan, 2010; Gunes, 2007; Kilmen & Demirtasli, 2009). Each stage of teachers’ training process requires MaE in monitoring the students’ development levels and accordingly adapting of their educational level. MaE, which is an important part of teachers’ competency, serves a crucial role for the school system, the teacher, the learner, the parent, and the administrator for the improvement of instruction. The quality of an education system depends on how qualified and educated its teachers are. Teachers should have the ability to measure and evaluate students’ needs in order to be successful (Gencel and Ozbasi, 2013). It is essential for a teacher to have a good command of the concepts in MaE, certain skills, and positive attitudes (Leavitt, 2012; MoE, 2008; MoE, 2009; Ozcelik, 2011; Turgut & Baykul, 2010; Zhang & Burry-Stock, 2003). Thus, they can evaluate and develop their own teaching methods and reach fewer value judgments (Karaca, 2004). As a result, they might have a better professional perception of themselves.

A majority of the changes in course curriculum that were made in 2005 and subsequent years in Turkey were related to MaE. The change and the accompanying practices have prompted teachers to develop their knowledge and skills in MaE. “General Competencies for Teaching Profession,” published in 2008, also established the extent to which teachers must be competent in MaE, and the number and time of in-service training sessions regarding MaE began to increase (MEB, 2008). It became far more important to determine student success during the process pursuant to the
Transitioning from Primary Education to Secondary Education (TEOG), and Nationally Centralized Testing practices have imposed an additional responsibility on teachers within the frame of MaE.

Developments in educational MaE tend to increasingly influence teachers’ professional lives. These developments and the accompanying changes lead to positive and negative experiences for teachers. Teacher experiences in MaE are reflected by their attitudes toward MaE and their professional perceptions. According to Tavşancıl (2010), attitudes are cognitive, affective, and behavioral elements that form through experiences and, as a result of a learning process, tend to continue for a certain time and may lead to positive or negative behavior. Due to this relationship between attitudes and behavior, the underlying attitudes for an expected behavior must be understood and changed to be positive. Teachers’ professional experiences cause them to develop attitudes that are potentially reflected in their professional preferences and practices. Implementations in MaE that make up significant portions of their professional lives cause them to develop attitudes toward the matter (Caliskan & Yazici, 2013; Erdogdu, 2010; Ozan & Kose, 2013; Yasar, 2014). Professional experiences determine teachers’ professional well-being (PWB), and their attitudes toward MaE must therefore be correlated with their PWB. This is because MaE experiences are an integral part of teaching activities as a whole. The quality and results of teaching activities are important factors for teachers to feel professionally well (OECD, 2009). The perception of PWB can be described as the perception of an individual of possessing the qualifications needed to perform the work requirements of a profession (Aelterman, Engels, Van Petegem & Verhaeghe, 2007).

Perception of PWB is a positive affect and therefore contains dimensions such as self-efficacy, job satisfaction, professional enthusiasm, recognition, and appreciation (Aelterman et al., 2007; Butt & Retallick, 2002; Yıldırım, 2015). Perception of PWB is defined based on evaluation of past and present experiences and attainments, and therefore serves as an indicator of teachers’ future professional performance. Due to this function, PWB attracts the attention of school administrators and political decision-makers in the field of educational management (OECD, 2009).

The relationship between teachers’ attitudes toward MaE and their perceptions of PWB has not been theoretically tested. Accordingly, this study is expected to fill the gap and can return useful findings in both professional development activities and MaE activities. The aim of the present study is to examine the relationship between teachers’ attitudes toward MaE and their perceptions of PWB in the branch teachers working at public elementary and secondary schools in Aksaray Province. The sub-aims of the study are determined as follows:

1- What are teachers’ attitudes toward measurement and evaluation?
2- What are teachers’ perceptions of their professional well-being?
3- What is the relationship between teachers’ attitudes toward MaE and their perceptions of professional well-being?
Method

Research Design

The present study is a relational study using a descriptive pattern to describe the relationship between the teachers’ attitudes toward MaE and their perceptions of PWB. A relational study aims to describe the degree of relationship between two or more variables (Cresswell, 2005, p. 343). Although previous studies have examined MaE and PWB separately, no research thus far has examined the relationship among them. The present study is secondary research, which involves using previously gathered data from other studies (Cothari, 2004; Fraenkel, Wallen and Hyun, 2012).

Research Sample

Participants consisted of teachers from different branches working at public elementary and secondary schools in Aksaray province, sub-provinces, and towns in the spring of 2014. The multi-stage sampling method was adopted to identify the participants. First, each of five education districts in Aksaray province was considered as an individual layer, and afterwards, branch teachers were selected from the schools by a simple random sampling method (Balci, 2011). A total of 301 teachers were included (147 male and 152 female). Concerning professional seniority, 13.3% of the teachers were in their first five years of service, and 65% thereof had between six to fifteen years of service. As the participants are concentrated particularly in the range of 6 to 15 years, they are mostly young and middle-aged teachers.

Table 1.
Demographic Breakdown of the Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branch</th>
<th>Turkish</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Social</th>
<th>Religious</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>1-5</th>
<th>6-10</th>
<th>11-15</th>
<th>16-20</th>
<th>21+</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research Instruments and Procedure

“The scale of teachers’ attitudes toward measurement and evaluation” and “The scale of teachers’ perceptions of professional well-being” were administered together in the same questionnaire. The questionnaires, which take 20 to 25 minutes to complete, were administered in the school settings for the same participants simultaneously.
The Scale of Teacher Attitude toward Measurement-Evaluation:

The scale of teachers’ attitudes toward measurement-evaluation was developed by Arastaman, Yildirim and Dasci (2015). Attitude toward MaE is defined in three dimensions, cognitive, affective, and behavioral, based on responses to a number of statements/items (Tavsancil, 2010). The scope of the measurement-evaluation area was determined to comprise five subheadings. They are as follows: (i) basic concepts of measurement, (ii) measuring tools (qualities, development, and application), (iii) statistical-mathematical operations, (iv) scoring, and (v) use of measurement results (feedback - development). “Teacher attitude toward MaE” was identified through likert-type items based on the participants’ statements. To increase the sensitivity of the scale, participants were asked to select between the most negative (1: Does not represent me at all) and the most positive (7: definitely represents me) in the equally-spaced scale. Thus, the attitude scores of teachers regarding MaE are calculated according to the score from each item. The Cronbach’s Alpha reliability coefficient calculated for the entire scale is .94. The inter-reliability coefficients between the four sub-dimensions were .90 for the measuring tool preparation, .89 for the application, .83 for the familiarity with the basic concepts, and .82 for the alternative measuring tools. The item-total correlation in all items of the scale varies between .44 and .73. The data suitability was checked for the exploratory factor analysis, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) value was found to be .92, and the Bartlett’s global test result was found to be significant (p =.00). Items were seen to gather around four factors. Factor loadings vary between .50 and .82. The scale explains 66% of the total variance. As a result of the confirmatory factor analysis, the scale’s fit indices were found to be $\chi^2 / sd = 1.96$, RMSEA= 0.06, SRMR=0.06, NNFI=0.98, CFI=0.99, GFI=0.82, and AGFI=0.77, and the scale appeared to be confirmed as a model (Cokluk, Sekercioglu and Buyukozturk, 2010).

The Scale of Teacher Perception of Professional Well-Being

The scale, which was developed by Yildirim, Arastaman and Dasci (2015), has 21 items in four dimensions (self-efficacy, aspiration, recognition, and professional collaboration). The equally spaced scale has choices between the most negative (1) and the most positive (7). The Cronbach’s Alpha reliability coefficient calculated for the entire scale is .90. The inter-reliability coefficients between the four sub-dimensions were found to be .84 for self-efficacy, .80 for aspiration, .78 for recognition, and .70 for professional collaboration. The item-test (Item-Total) correlations in all dimensions of the scale vary between .43 and .68. As a result of the confirmatory factor analysis, the scale’s fit indices were found to be $\chi^2 / sd = 2.06$, RMSEA= 0.06, SRMR=0.04, NNFI=0.97, CFI=0.98, GFI=0.89, and AGFI=0.86, and the scale appeared to be confirmed as a model (Cokluk et al., 2010).

Data Analysis

After eliminating the questionnaires not completed according to the guidelines, a total of 301 questionnaires were included in the data analysis. The statistical analysis of the data collected in the study was performed using the SPSS 22.0 package program, and the error margin was taken as .05. When the normality of the data set was examined, it was found to have a parametric distribution (p > .05). An answer to the first two sub-problems of the study was sought using descriptive statistics, and
that to the third sub-problem using multiple regression analysis. The independent variable of the study is the attitude toward MaE, and the dependent variable is the perception of PWB. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to examine the potential of the attitude toward MaE to explain the perception of PWB. The correlation coefficients between the variables examined in the study, standardized regression coefficients, multiple correlation, R², and adjusted R² were taken into account.

Results

The study examined the relationship between teachers’ attitudes toward MaE and their perceptions of professional well-being. Research findings were presented according to the research questions.

Teachers’ attitudes toward measurement and evaluation

The teacher attitude toward MaE is described below in Table 2. The teacher attitude toward MaE appears to be at a good level ($X = 5.52, S = .86$). The teachers state that they have a command of the basic concepts of MaE, develop and implement measuring tools, use their results, make an effort to develop themselves in the area, are curious about the area, and like what they are doing.

Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>$\bar{x}$</th>
<th>$S$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing a measurement tool</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using measurement tool and its results</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of basic concepts</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using alternative measurement tools</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude towards measurement-evaluation</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the sub-components of attitude toward MaE are examined, the areas toward which teachers have the most negative attitude are "measuring tool development" ($\bar{x} = 5.32, S = 1.08$) and familiarity with the basic concepts of MaE ($\bar{x} = 5.37, S = 1.00$). Teachers seem to considerably differ from each other in this matter. The teacher attitudes toward alternative measuring tools ($\bar{x} = 5.60, S = 1.07$) and overall application of measuring tools and use of their results ($\bar{x} = 5.83, S = .92$) are more positive than their attitudes toward measuring tool development and familiarity with the basic concepts. In other words, they like applying measuring tools and using their results, but they are less fond of preparing measuring tools and developing their knowledge and skills. When each of the values of the items in the scale is individually examined, the items requiring "I develop" and "I research" actions are seen to receive low values (4.91 ≤ $\bar{x}$ ≤ 5.34). In contrast, the items requiring "I use" actions are seen to receive high values (5.52 ≤ $\bar{x}$ ≤ 5.91). In general terms,
teachers seem to not tend to specialize in MaE, but instead tend to benefit from their practical and operational use.

Teachers’ perceptions of professional well-being

Descriptive statistics for teacher perception of professional well-being is provided in Table 3. According to the table, teachers feel that they are professionally "at a good level" ($\bar{X}=5.75$, $S=.71$). When the sub-components making up perception of PWB are examined, the highest perception is in self-efficacy ($\bar{X}=6.05; S=.66$). The teachers feel that they possess the technical knowledge and skills required by their profession and can perform their jobs even under the most challenging conditions. The component with the highest variation ($S=1.06$) and the lowest perception scores ($\bar{X}=5.22$) is recognition. When interpreted according to the items making up the component, the teachers perceive the status of the profession in their location and recognition of their achievements by their administrators as lower compared to other items, but at a good level according to the mean scores (5.22 out of 7). The teachers consider themselves to be at a good level in terms of professional development and collegial relations, such as sharing material with colleagues ($\bar{X}=5.98, S=.80$). They exchange ideas with their colleagues, ask for their help, share tools and equipment, and reach common decisions. When the findings of the subcomponents of the perception of PWB are considered, the teachers seem to attach importance to individual attainment, but not to being recognized for their individual efforts. However, they seem to attach less importance to collective attainment in collaboration with their colleagues.

Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$</th>
<th>$S$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional cooperation</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of PWB</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relationship between the teacher attitude toward measurement and evaluation and their perception of professional well-being

The relationships between teacher attitude toward MaE and perception of PWB are presented in Table 4. A moderately positive and significant relationship ($r=.63$, $p<.01$) is found between the score of the attitude toward MaE and the score of the perception of PWB. The attitude toward MaE seems to be an important indicator of how teachers consider themselves professionally. However, the fact that the score of the teacher attitude toward MaE ($\bar{X}=5.52$, $S=.86$) is lower than that of their perception of PWB ($\bar{X}=5.75$, $S=.71$) indicates a need for further studies on MaE.

When the relationships between the sub-dimensions of the scale are examined, they are found to be significant, positive, and moderate ($33\leq r\leq65$, $p<.01$). The highest of the relationships is that between “Self-efficacy” and “Attitude toward
Measurement and Evaluation” (r=.65, p<.01). The attitude sub-dimension that is most correlated with self-efficacy is that of “using measuring tools and its results”.

Considering that self-efficacy has the highest scores ($\bar{X}=6.04; S=0.66$) among the scores of the perception of PWB, the positive and significant place of the attitude toward MaE is noted in terms of the perception of PWB. More specifically, applying a measuring tool and performing evaluation based on its results supports teachers' professional competence, thus fostering their perception of PWB.

Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Index of attitude towards measurement</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Developing a measurement tool</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.87**</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Using measurement tool and its results</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.84**</td>
<td>.65**</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Knowledge of basic concepts</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>.84**</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Using alternative measurement tools</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.83**</td>
<td>.65**</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Index of teachers’ PWB</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.51**</td>
<td>.56**</td>
<td>.54**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Self-efficacy</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>.65**</td>
<td>.56**</td>
<td>.60**</td>
<td>.50**</td>
<td>.54**</td>
<td>.85**</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Recognition</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.43**</td>
<td>.89**</td>
<td>.66**</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Professional cooperation and sharing</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>.80**</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.50**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p<.01

In the relationships between the sub-components of PWB perception and those of MaE attitude, “the professional cooperation” dimension has the lowest relationship ($0.33 \leq r \leq 0.45, p<.01$). Considering that professional cooperation represents professional specialization and professional development, the relatively lower relationship scores may go along with with the low scores in teachers’ attitudes toward specialization and research in MaE. Despite the low importance of professional cooperation among the scores of attitude toward MaE, the high importance of self-efficacy may be an indicator that teachers attach more importance to "individual attainment rather than collective attainment.”

The relationship scores of another sub-dimension of the perception of PWB, “recognition”, and the attitude subcomponents vary between .43 and .52. Recognition may be summarized as the appreciation and respect shown to teachers for their efforts. In view of the relationship values, the attitude toward MaE is relatively important in terms of appreciation and respect shown to teacher.

When the relationship between the attitude toward MaE and the perception of PWB is analyzed using a more powerful statistical tool, the regression analysis, some
findings may be interpreted as an "effect." In order to perform the regression analysis, the existence of high correlation values between the variables (r = .90) was examined, and since no such high correlation was found, the regression analysis was performed. In the analysis, the index score of the perception of PWB was taken as the dependent variable, and the scores of attitude toward MaE were taken as independent variables. The results are shown in Table 5. As a result of the multiple linear regression analysis, the teacher attitude toward MaE, together with its subdimensions, explains approximately 40% of the variance of the index score of the teacher perception of PWB (R=0.631, R² =0.40, p<.01. F (4,274) = 45.315 p=0.000). The adjusted R² that corresponds to the model in the population suggests that the independent variables intersect the dependent variable at mid-level (adj. R² = .383). According to the standardized regression coefficients (β), the relative order of importance of independent variables regarding the PWB is “applying and using measuring tools” (β = .261), “familiarity with basic concepts” (β =. 209), and “using alternative measurement tools” (β =. 173), while “developing measuring tools” is not significant.

Table 5.

Results of the Multiple Regression Analysis on Predicting Teachers' Professional Well-Being

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing a measurement tool</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using measurement tool and its results</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of basic concepts</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using alternative measurement tools</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R=0.631  R² =0.398  Adj.R²=0.383  F (4,274) = 45.315 p=0.000

Discussion and Conclusion

With teachers from different subjects at the level of primary and lower secondary schools, this study attempted to examine the relationship between teachers’ attitudes toward MaE and their perceptions of PWB. Comparing and generalizing the findings of the study is naturally restricted because of scarce studies focused directly on this relationship. Nevertheless, this study can be dignified as an initiative to satisfy the research gap.

This study has reached the conclusion that teachers have a great deal of positive perception to be professional and have a positive attitude toward MaE. A positive, significant, and moderate relationship between the level of their attitude toward MaE and that of their perception of PWB was identified, and their attitude toward MaE was found to be a significant predictor of the perception of PWB.

Teachers' positive attitudes toward MaE partially correspond with the findings of Caliskan & Yazici (2013) and fully agree with that of Erdogdu (2010). The former studies on participants who attended an in-service training and were only Social Sciences teachers, and the researchers found that teacher attitude toward MaE that
was slightly above the mean was more remote than the anticipated level, which they attributed to the inadequate MaE courses in the undergraduate level. Erdogdu (2010), whose research worked with all teachers from six schools (three elementary schools and three high schools), regardless of their branches, found that the teachers had a positive attitude toward MaE.

It may be cautiously concluded that the curriculum change after 2005 and the requirements imposed on teachers by the national level tests in MaE do not adversely affect teachers' attitudes toward MaE; on the contrary, the changes seem to have led to an enthusiasm for making up the lack of knowledge and skills. Many studies have suggested that teachers' MaE practices are traditional and that they are incompetent at applying MaE techniques (Akcadag, 2010; Bicak & Cakan, 2004; Celikkaya, Karakus & Demirbas, 2010; Leavit, 2012; Quilter, 1998; Schafer, 1991; Yaman, 2011). For example, the study by Maden and Durukan (2009) concluded that teachers found the MaE tools provided in the 2005 program to be complex, and that they should be better informed about MaE approaches and tools proposed by the program. This matter was presented as a contradiction in a study by Ceylandag (2009), who argued that teachers considered themselves to be competent, although they were identified to be incompetent, at MaE practices. Similar findings were also attained in the TED study (2009). Most teachers do not consider themselves professionally incompetent and do not feel that they need professional development. At this point, the exact relationships between "competency" and "perception of competency" and "attitude" remain uncertain. Campbell (1998) and Quilter (1998) found that teachers' knowledge of MaE was not correlated with their attitudes toward the matter, or that these were correlated at a low level. The literature indicates that there is yet to be a convincing consensus on the relationship between the level of teachers' competency in MaE and their attitudes toward the matter (Quilter, 1998; Schafer, 1991; Stiggins, 1997). It is reported that there may not be a correspondence between knowledge, skills, and practical competency; in other words, teachers may have a positive attitude toward MaE (or perception of competency) even if they do not possess adequate knowledge and skills in MaE. As a result, the conclusion of the present study, that there is a positive attitude of teachers toward MaE, does not mean that teachers are competent in the field at a satisfactory level. Here, the researchers point to the merit of studying the relationship between the teacher "competency" and "attitude" in MaE.

An examination of the sub-dimensions of teachers' attitudes toward MaE reveals that they attach more importance to meeting their daily practical needs than to specializing in MaE. The mean scores of the items "I develop" and "I research" are lower, and the teachers have a relatively lower attitude in the dimension of "developing measuring tools" and "familiarity with basic concepts." Teachers seeming to hold more positive attitudes toward existing and easily accessible MaE practices and their 'usual' MaE practices; however, they have less positive attitudes toward activities that require long-term commitment and disciplined effort. This suggestion is confirmed by Yaman (2011), who found that teachers prefer traditional methods and that self-efficacy related with MaE practices leads them to better professional perception. This situation suggests that the "psychomotor" component is more evident than the "cognitive" and "affective" components of attitude, and at the same time, it is also in accordance with common uses of traditional MaE techniques as opposed to alternative measuring tools. As a result, teachers find the aspect of
MaE that "meets the need in a short time" more positive. This attitude must be taken into consideration by the education administration, especially in professional development activities. In this context, the conclusion of Gelbal & Kelecioglu (2007) that "the teachers lack sufficient references to interact with in order to develop and use measuring tools and use their results in MaE practices" is noteworthy.

This study has found that teachers consider themselves to be at a good level professionally. This finding concurs with previous research (Aelterman et al., 2007; Butt & Retallick, 2002; Yildirim, 2014, 2015). The most significant support of this positive perception is made by the "self-efficacy" component. The self-efficacy perception, which refers to the teachers' belief in possessing the technical knowledge and skills required by the profession and successfully employing them, is indicated as the most important component in the previous studies (Aelterman et al., 2007; Bricheno et al., 2009; Ceylandag, 2009; Yildirim, 2015). However, in the present study the teachers gave relatively lower scores to the "recognition" component. The study by Yildirim (2014b) found that teachers' expectations for recognition and appreciation were not met. Herzberg (1968, as cited by Donmez, 2014) defines recognition as a motivator in his two-factor theory and emphasizes that it fosters job satisfaction. Appreciation of achievements, recognition, higher self-esteem, and confidence lead to motivation to assume more responsibility, which indicates that the individual is considered important. Education administrators must assign responsibilities to teachers, support them in achieving these responsibilities, and recognize and appreciate them for their accomplishments. This process corresponds with the principle suggested by Vroom (1964, as cited by Donmez, 2014) in their theory of expectations, "Individual expects to be appreciated and rewarded for their efforts." However, the teachers report that they do not receive the respect and appreciation they expect for their efforts. Teachers believe that they fulfill their responsibilities, but are not recognized by others for their fulfillment of their responsibilities.

Teachers' high perception of self-efficacy may also be interpreted as a lower frequency and level of experiencing problem situations that require them to perform professionally challenging additional works, research, and meetings that would lead to an awareness of their professional incompetence. This situation, which is reminiscent of routinization and stagnation, may suggest a lack of activities by education administration for challenging and setting goals that are not easily attainable to be embraced in collaboration with all stakeholders, including teachers. As a result, the fact that teachers consider themselves to be in a very good condition professionally does not mean that they are very professionally competent or that the education and teaching activities at schools are very good. The TED (2009) study that supports this interpretation found that teachers had a very low perception of their professional development needs and that 88% of them did not follow a professional periodical. The same study also notes that teachers might be professionally sentimental and therefore have exaggerated opinions.

In the present study, a significant, positive relationship that may be considered high was found between teachers' attitudes toward measurement-evaluation and their perceptions of PWB. The fact that the attitude developed by teachers toward MaE has relatively a strong effect on their perception of PWB indicates the place of
“measurement and evaluation” in their professional lives. It may be concluded that, as the teachers’ attitudes toward MaE improve, they will feel professionally better. The reverse is also possible. This indicates the importance of activities that school administrators must perform to improve the attitude toward MaE. This finding also suggests that the theoretical relationship argued in the present study is experimentally supported.

The subcomponents of teacher attitude toward MaE, those of perception of PWB, self-efficacy, and attitude toward measurement and evaluation have a notably strong relationship. It may be suggested that activities that support the development of a positive attitude toward measurement and evaluation may also improve professional self-efficacy, and thus the perception of PWB. Of the components of the attitude toward MaE, “using measuring tools and their results” has the greatest effect on the perception of PWB. The significant positive relationship between this effect and “self-efficacy” and “using measuring tools and their results”, which may be considered high, exhibits the inter-consistency of the findings in the study.

In terms of the generalizability of the present study’s conclusions, the existing circumstances of the participants and their characteristics need be taken into consideration. Particularly, at the time the study was conducted, secondary school teachers’ attitudes toward MaE might have been affected by recent MaE discussions centered around TEOG (Transitioning from Elementary Education to Secondary Education) tests and by statements that test results would be associated with teacher performance. Besides, the ongoing effects of the recent changes that occurred due to high-level political decisions may also have affected the results of teacher perception of PWB. From a different standpoint, the results of the “scale of the teacher perception of PWB” must be cautiously considered. The teacher perception of PWB may change depending on the challenging professional conditions experienced by teachers (demand for not easily attainable goals, teaching based on individual differences, experiencing student behavior subject to disciplinary action, taking part in professional projects, etc.). Also, the level and school type where teachers are employed may be an important institutional factor affecting their perception of PWB. For example, the perception of PWB of a classroom teacher at an elementary school and that of someone teaching a culture course at a technical vocational school might generate considerably different results. Subsequent studies are recommended to focus on this matter. Another problem in this context is the lack of a reliable mechanism that monitors teachers’ professional development during their service. If there were such a mechanism, more accurate assessments could be made. “The Scale of Teacher Attitude toward Measurement and Evaluation” may be used in planning and implementing professional development activities for teachers. Further research analyzing the relationship between teachers’ MaE competencies and their attitudes is recommended.
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Appendices

Appendix A. The Scale of Teacher Attitude toward Measurement and Evaluation

Please define your agreement in the following statements by scoring between 1= Does not represent me at all and 7= Definitely represents me.

**Developing Measuring Tools**

6. I'm qualified to develop a sufficient measuring tool.
7. I research to see how a sufficient measuring tool should be.
8. I am familiar with developing the measuring tool I need.
12. I consider myself to be qualified to prepare measuring tools.
13. I like developing measuring tools.
14. I do not have difficulty developing measuring tools.

**Using measuring tools and their results**

15. I know how to apply a measuring tool.
16. I apply measuring tools as I planned.
18. I know how to score.
19. Scoring makes me curious.
20. I know how to use measuring results.
21. I use measuring results to ensure that students learn better.
22. Measurement and evaluation results must be announced.

**Familiarity with Basic Concepts**

1. Basic concepts of measurement and evaluation (scale, criteria, etc.) attract my attention.
2. I investigate the basic concepts of measurement and evaluation.
3. I know the basic concepts of measurement and evaluation.
4. I use the basic concepts of measurement and evaluation.
5. I have sufficient information about the qualities of measuring tools (validity, reliability, usability).

**Using Alternative Measuring Tools**

9. I use a range of different measuring tools instead of the same measuring tool.
10. Alternative measuring tools attract my attention.
11. I am familiar with alternative measuring tools.
## Appendix B. The Scale of Teacher Perception of Professional Well-Being

Please define your agreement in the following statements by scoring between 1= Does not represent me at all and 7= Definitely represents me.

### Self Efficacy

1. I feel that I am professionally very good.
2. I try new things at my job.
3. I make my own professional decisions.
4. I know the rules required by my profession.
5. I follow the latest innovations in my profession.
6. I can fulfill what is required by my job effectively even under most challenging circumstances if I want.
7. I have the knowledge and skills that I can easily employ at my job.
8. I decide on the innovative practices at my job myself.
9. I can perform my profession successfully anywhere.
10. I seek new ways to do my job more effectively.
11. I know how to reach individuals (students, parents, employees).
12. I decide on the professional tools and equipments used at my workplace.
13. I incorporate the professional theoretical knowledge into practice.

### Recognition

5. I am appreciated for my professional accomplishments.
8. My profession is highly respected in my environment.
14. I hold useful discussions with the school administration in professional matters.
15. I regularly read professional publications (books, magazines, articles ...).
16. I am appreciated by the administration for my professional accomplishments.
17. I have exciting future plans about my profession.
18. I can achieve my professional goals where I work.

### Professional collaboration and sharing

7. I exchange views on professional matters with the concerned friends.
9. I make professional decisions together with my colleagues.
24. I ask my friends for help to develop myself professionally.
25. I share perspectives, techniques and tools which I believe to be effective with my friends.

\[ \alpha = 0.895 \]

\[ \alpha = 0.835 \]

\[ \alpha = 0.744 \]
Öğretmenlerin Ölçme ve Değerlendirmeye İlişkin Tutumları ile Onların Mesleki İyilik Algıları Arasındaki İlişki

Atıf:

Özet

Araştırmanın Amacı: Bu çalışmada, öncelikle öğretmenlerin ölçme ve değerlendirme ile ilgili tutumları ile onların mesleki iyiğilik algıları betimlenmiştir. Çalışmanın temel amacına ulaşmak için bu iki değişken arasındaki ilişki incelemek amaçlanmıştır.


Araştırmanın Bulguları: Çalışmada, öğretmenlerin ölçme ve değerlendirme ile ilgili olumlu ve iyi düzeyde bir tutuma sahip oldukları (X̄=5.52, S=.86) bulunmuştur. Bununla birlikte görel olarak en düşük ortalamada ölçme aracı gelmişse de iyi düzeyde bir tutuma sahiptir (X̄=5.75, S=.71). Görel olarak mesleki iyiğilik algısıın en düşük olduğu boyut tanınır ve takdir edilmeye bozulmuştur (X̄=5.22,
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S = 1.08). Öğretmenlerin ölçme ve değerlendirmeye yönelik tutumları ile onların mesleki iyilik algıları arasında anlamlı iyi düzeyde yakın bir ilişki bulunmuştur \((r = .63, p < .05)\). Alt boyutlar arasında en güçlü ilişki öğretmenlerin öz-yeterlik algısı ile ölçme araçları ve sonuçlarını kullanmaya yönelik tutumları \((r = .60, p < .05)\). Araştırmada öğretmenlerin ölçme ve değerlendirimeye ilişkin tutumlarının onların mesleki iyilik algısını yordama gücü incelendi, analiz sonucunda ölçme ve değerlendirmeye ilişkin tutumun onların mesleki iyilik algısının anlamlı bir kestiricisi olduğu bulunmuştur \((R = 0.631, R^2 = 0.40, p < .01, F(4, 274) = 45.315, p = 0.000)\). Alt boyutlar arasında en güçlü kestirici “Ölçme aracını ve sonuçlarını kullanma” \((\beta = .261, p = .000)\) değişkenine aittir.


Anahtar Sözcükler: Mesleki iyilik algısı, ölçme ve değerlendirme, tutum, ilişki, ikincil araştırma