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Abstract
Objective: Male breast cancer (MBC) accounts for less than 1% of all breast cancer diagnoses and all cancer cases in men. Methods: We included 33 MBC cases and analyzed histopathological features and survival data. Results: The mean age was 63.5, mean tumor diameter was 3 cm. Central quadrants (69.2%) was most common localization, invasive ductal carcinoma (75.8%) was most common histological subtype. Most of the cases (78.6%) were grade 2. Nipple involvement was noted in 9, tumor necrosis in 9, perineural invasion in 15, dermal lymphatic emboli in 10 cases. Nearly half of the cases (45.5%) showed lymph node metastasis. There was statistically significant relation between lymph node metastasis and stromal lymphocyte response, tumor necrosis (p=0.008, p=0.013) also between grade and dermal lymphatic emboli (p=0.04). Non-tumoral parenchymal findings were columnar cell lesions (CCL), (n: 5) and gynaecomastia (n: 3). Majority of the cases showed estrogen receptor (90.9%) and progesterone receptor (77.2%) positivity. Overall survival analysis showed significant results between grade (p=0.008), lymph node metastasis (p=0.03), dermal lymphatic tumor emboli (p=0.02), nipple involvement (p=0.02) and survival. Conclusions: Our results showed good correlation with literature data in terms of histopathological features and prognostic factors. Confidential data about etiological and prognostic factors will be collected through these reports showing institutional experiences. The significance of CCL in MBC etiology, the impact of intratumoral stromal lymphocyte response, hormone receptor-HER2 status on survival should be clarified in larger series. Keywords: Male breast cancer, Prognosis, Histopathology

Introduction
Male breast cancer (MBC) accounts for less than 1% of all breast cancers diagnoses and all cancer cases in men [1]. Incidence rate is higher in North America, Europe and Africa [2]. The mean age at diagnosis is 67 which is higher than the mean age reported in woman [3]. Etiopathological factors of MBC are genetic predisposition (BRCA2 mutation), estrogen-testosterone ratio alterations (Klinefelter syndrome, obesity, liver cirrhosis, exogenous estrogen therapy), radiation exposure and occupational risks [1,4,5]. Most common histopathological subtype is invasive ductal carcinoma (85-90%) [4,6]. The vast majority (65-90%) of MBC are estrogen and progesterone receptor positive [4,6]. Axillary lymph node metastasis is observed in nearly half of MBC cases [7]. The current approaches in MBC treatment are surgery (simple-modified radical mastectomy, sentinel node biopsy), hormone therapy, radiotherapy, chemotherapy [6].

Our aim was to analyze the demographic and clinical characteristics of MBC patients and predictive factors impact on overall survival.

Material and Methods
We included 33 MBC cases diagnosed between 2000-2014 in Tepecik Training and Research Hospital. We reviewed hematoxylin-eosin stained sections in terms of histological tumor type, grade, necrosis, perineural invasion, dermal lymphatic invasion, DCIS component, nipple involvement, lymph node metastasis, stromal lymphocyte response, non-tumoral parenchymal features. We also searched pathology reports for tumor diameter, localization, hormone receptor, HER2 status and archive records for survival data.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS software version 16. Overall survival rates were
estimated by Kaplan-Meier. Pearson’s chi-square test and Mann Whitney U test were used to analyze the data, as appropriate. The results were considered to be statistically significant when p < 0.05

Results

The mean age was 63.5 (min:48, max:90), mean diameter was 3cm (min:1, max:5 cm). We could achieve follow up/survival data of 22 cases of which 14 alive and 8 died. Mean follow up period was 55.8 months (min:3, max:155). Central quadrant (69.2%) was the most common localization. Histopathological subtype was invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) in 25 cases (75.8%), papillary carcinoma in 3 cases (9%), ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) in 5 cases (15.2%). Of the 25 IDC cases, 5 exhibited in situ component. Twenty two cases were grade 2 (78.6%), six cases were grade 3 (21.4%). Lymph node excision was performed in 22 cases and 10 cases (45.5%) showed lymph node metastasis. Six cases had distant metastasis. Nipple involvement was noted in 9 cases, of which 7 located in central quadrant, 8 were IDC, 1 was pure DCIS and 1 had in situ component in addition to invasive component. Tumor necrosis was seen in 9 cases (27.3%), perineural invasion in 15 cases (45.5%), tumor emboli in dermal lymphatics in 10 cases (30.3%). There was a statistically significant relation between presence of tumor emboli in dermal lymphatic and central quadrant localization (p=0.03). We noted stromal lymphocyte response in tumoral areas in six cases of which five showed lymph node metastasis (Figure 1). Correlation between the presence of stromal lymphocyte and lymph node metastasis was statistically significant (p=0.008). There was also statistically significant relation between lymph node metastasis and tumor necrosis (p=0.013) also between grade and dermal lymphatic tumor emboli (p=0.04).

Non-tumoral parenchymal findings were columnar cell hyperplasia (n:5) (Figure 2) and gynaecomastia (n:3).

Hormone receptor and HER2 status were documented in 22 cases. Estrogen receptor was positive in 20 (90.9%), progesterone receptor in 17 (77.2%) and HER2 in 3 (13.6%) cases.

Discussion

Grujicic et al and Bruce et al reported IDC as most common subtype because male breast normally consist of only ducts [8,9]. Lobular tissue is present only in case of increased estrogen exposure [4]. Papillary carcinoma is more frequent in male (2–4%) than women [4]. In our study two most common subtypes were IDC (75.8%) and papillary carcinoma (9%).

DCIS accounts nearly 10% of MBCs [10]. In our study pure DCIS was seen in 15.1% of the cases. Lantis et al reported accompanying in situ component in 78.6% of invasive cancer cases though it was 20% in our serial.

Etiology of MBC is still not clear. Defined risk factors are family history and increased estrogen levels. We could not achieve family history in our cases. But we search for proliferative lesions around tumoral areas. Columnar cell lesions (CCL) are well known precursors of low grade breast neoplasia in female though their role in MBC has not been established. Also the association between gynaecomastia and male breast cancer risk is not clear [1]. Ni et al investigated columnar cell lesions in 71 male patients who underwent breast surgery for benign and malignant lesions. They noted columnar cell like changes in 39 patients. The incidence of CCL was similar in malignant and benign lesions [11]. Another recent study found no CCL around invasive cancer, gynaecomastia and normal breast tissue [12].
In our serial three breasts carcinoma cases had accompanying gynaecomastia, five had CCL without atypia. The incidence and the role of CCL in MBC carcinogenesis should further analyzed in larger series.

As the majority of MBC cases are hormone receptor positive, hormone treatment is indicated in the vast majority of the patients. But the impact of hormone therapy on survival is still controversial. No strong evidence was reported between ER status and prognosis of MBC [13,14]. We could not find significant relation between ER positivity and overall survival (p=0.52). Besides mean survival time for ER (+) (62.8±10.6) cases was lower than ER (-) (90±4.2) cases. But we have no data if hormone receptor positive cases achieve hormonotherapy or not.

There are conflicting data about tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and breast cancer prognosis. Loi et al found that extensive lymphocytic infiltration in node positive, ER/HER2 negative breast cancer is associated with excellent prognosis [15]. Rathore et al reported stromal CD3 positive TILs were significantly associated with positive lymph node status [16]. In our study we examined stromal lymphocyte infiltration in tumoral areas and half of the cases exhibiting extensive stromal lymphocyte showed lymph node metastasis. Clinical stage is identified as important prognostic factor in MBC in many studies. The prognosis depends on tumor size, grade, lymph node status [13,17,18]. The overall 5-year survival in case of lymph node metastasis is 57%, whereas it is 85% in non-metastatic cases [18]. Age, size, grade, lymph node metastasis and steroid receptor status are defined as independent prognostic factors for MBC survival [8]. Bergmann et al found that metastasis at diagnosis, older age, higher tumor stage and smoking status are independent factors associated with risk of death [8]. Grujicic et al showed 100% overall survival for tumors ≤2cm, 38% for tumors >5cm [8]. According to our study the relation between tumor diameter, grade, nipple involvement, lymph node metastasis, dermal lymphatic emboli and survival were statistically significant.

**Conclusion**

Our results showed good correlation with literature data in terms of histopathological features and prognostic factors. Confidential data about etiological and prognostic factors will be collected through these reports showing institutional experiences. The significance of CCL in MBC etiology, the impact of intratumoral stromal lymphocyte response on prognosis, also hormone receptor and HER2 status on survival should be clarified in larger series.
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