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Aim: Primary epiploic appendagitis (PEA) is an inflammatory disease occurs due to the torsion or spontaneous venous thrombosis of colonic epiploic appendages. Frequency of PEA is greater in the sigmoid colon, which is the place where appendix epiploica most commonly observed. Cecal PEA is seen rarely. PEA is actually a disease that can be cured by conservative treatment. However, cecal epiploic appendagitis is sometimes managed by surgical treatment because it mimics acute abdomen.

Case Reports: Two epiploic appendagitis cases were reported in this article. These patients were presented to our emergency department with sign and symptoms of acute appendicitis. Surgical treatment was performed in both two patients, since epiploic appendagitis was not radiologically identified in either case preoperatively.

Conclusion: Surgery is not necessary in the treatment of epiploic appendagitis. A careful radiological examination, especially a computed tomography, would increase the correct diagnosis of epiploic appendagitis cases and provide an opportunity for conservative treatment. On the other hand, if cecal epiploic appendagitis can not be diagnosed preoperatively by the radiologist, surgery will be inevitable because it mimics acute appendicitis.
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Abstract


Olgular: Bu makalede iki epiploik apandajit olgusu sunulmaktadır. Bu hastalar acil servise akut apandisit belirti ve bulgular ile başvurmuşlardır. Her iki hastaya da cerrahey tedavi uygulandı çünkü enflamasyon ve preoperatif epiploik apandajit tanısı radyolojik olarak konulamamıştır.

Sonuç: Epiploik apandajit tedavisinde cerrahi gerekli değildir. Dikkatli bir radyolojik inceleme, özellikle bilgisayarlı tomografi, epiploik apandajit vakalının doğru teşhis edilmesini artıracaktır ve konzervatif tedavi sansı sağlayacaktır. Öte yandan, akut apandisit taklit eden çekal epiploik apandajit tanısı radyolojik olarak değerlendirilebilirse preoperatif konulamazsa, cerrahi kaçınılmaz olacaktır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Apandajit, Appendiks epiploika, Akut batın
Giriş
Apendices epiploicae are pedunculated formations that are developing in the 2nd trimester of the fetal period. They present on the colon from cecum to sigmoid colon in two lines, each are measuring 0.5-5 cm in length and have a peritoneum covering. Their blood supply come from the branches of colic arteries. Their peduncles allow them move freely and set the stage for infarct development by becoming torsed\(^1,2\). Primary epiploic appendagitis (PEA) which is a self-limited inflammatory disease is rarely diagnosed clinically\(^3\). The twisting of the epiploica results in ischemic, initially with venous compromise (low pressure) and the arterial occlusion\(^4\). This condition, defined as PEA, mimics surgical acute abdomen although it is not a pathology necessitating surgery.

Case 1
A 20-year-old male patient weighing 78 kg, presented to the emergency room complaining of pain for 2 days localized at a point right of the umbilicus. Upon physical examination, bowel sounds were hypoactive and there was guarding and rebound tenderness in the right lower quadrant. He had a fever of 37.2 C with a leukocyte count of 12300/µL, with no nausea, vomiting or diarrhea. His laboratory tests were nonspecific. Abdominal ultrasonography (USG) demonstrated pericecal free fluid with no other pathology. The surgeon thought acute appendicitis and done laparotomy via McBurney incision. Pericecal serous fluid with a torsed appendix epiploica was identified (Figure 1). There wasn’t any other pathology. The ischemic appendix epiploica was excised.

Case 2
An 18-year-old male patient weighing 65 kg, presented to the emergency department complaining of pain for 3 days, which gradually increased in intensity and localized at a point in the right lower quadrant. Bowel sounds were hypoactive on physical examination, the right lower quadrant was guarding, and there was rebound tenderness. He had a fever of 37.7 C with a leukocyte count of 11800/µL, with no nausea, vomiting or diarrhea. There were no pathological findings in the abdominal ultrasonography. The appendix was 6 mm in diameter on the abdominal computed tomography (CT), and there was free fluid next to it. The patient underwent laparotomy for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Pericecal serous fluid with a torsed appendix epiploica neighboring the appendix was identified upon exploration (Figure 2). This necrotic appendix epiploica was excised and an appendectomy was done.

Figure 1: Case 1. Primary epiploic appendagitis

Figure 2. Case 2. View of cecal primary epiploic appendagitis

Discussion
PEA is seen more frequently in middle-aged males, though it can be seen in any age. Incidence of PEA is higher in the sigmoid colon, which is the place where appendix epiploica most
commonly located. Cecal PEA is seen rarely. The PEA cases that we encountered were seen in this less common location. The absence of radiological diagnosis of PEA and the presence of clinical signs of appendicitis, were effective in the decision for doing laparotomy. Although obesity and hernias are expressed as coexisting conditions with PEA in the literature, there was no such condition in our cases. Abdominal pain generally increases slowly, is also localized and constant. These patients rarely have gastrointestinal symptoms and only have pain. Clinical evaluations alone are not adequate to diagnose PEA. Acute appendicitis and acute diverticulitis are the first diseases that come into minds in such cases. Mis-diagnoses, as in our cases, are still frequently seen despite current imaging modalities. This makes us to believe that some cases with PEA presenting to emergency departments with abdominal pain are not diagnosed. A careful radiological examination is the key for diagnosis of PEA. It can be treated conservatively when it is diagnosed by noninvasive methods such as abdominal USG and/or CT. CT is diagnostic tool of choice and should have a high diagnostic accuracy. However, these patients generally are not diagnosed with noninvasive imaging methods.

A noncompressed, ovoid hyperechogeneous mass and a thin hypoechoic rim of fluid around it on USG, and no blood flow on doppler USG are the findings that can be identified. Currently, the diagnosis of PEA is easier with the use of CT. PEA is observed through tomography as ovoid-shaped inflamed tissue in the dense fat, causing a thickening of the visceral peritoneum next to the colonic walls, and may be surrounded by fluid. Some epiploic appendixes that fall to the peritoneal cavity might be encountered as free tissues at laparotomies. Thomas et al was reported their own 11 cases and the review of 197 cases from the literature. They classified acute epiploic appendagitis according to their causes as torsion and inflammation (73%), hernia incarceration (18%), intestinal obstruction (8%), and intraperitoneal loose body (<1%). This is because each appendix epiploica has dual arterial blood flow, while it has only one venous drainage. Other conditions that might cause appendagitis epiploica are bacterial translocation developing secondary to diverticulitis and lymphoid hyperplasia.

The diagnostic rate of USG was found as 58% in a study by Özdemir, et al, and it is stated that the diagnostic rate increases up to 70% with confirmation of the findings by CT. Therefore, the CT was expressed as a necessity in the diagnosis. Radiologically diagnosed PEA cases have been successfully treated by conservative methods. On the other hand, cases overlooked radiologically are directed to surgical procedures. Serous fluid at the site of the pathology seen during surgery might be a warning sign for the surgeon.

It is sometimes very difficult to diagnose an epiploic appendagitis radiologically. It depends on radiologists’ experience. In our second case, preoperative CT examination was reported as acute appendicitis and free pericecal fluid. In the postoperative period, the abdominal CT’s were re-examined by a blinded radiologist and reported as appendagitis epiploica. It’s reported as epiploic appendagitis.

**Conclusion**

A progressive increase in abdominal pain in a constant localization and coexisting guarding, nonspecific laboratory findings, absence of fever or sub-febrile fever should raise suspicion for PEA. Clinical findings should be shared with radiologists. A careful radiological examination, especially a CT, would increase the correct diagnosis of these cases. Also it provides an opportunity for conservative treatment of patients with this condition. On the other hand, if cecal epiploic appendagitis can not be diagnosed by the radiologist, surgery will be inevitable because it mimics acute appendicitis.
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